



2-21-84
A. Thomas

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT
AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

HUGH CAIRNS ET AL.

Serial No: 344,982

Filed: February 2, 1982

For: "COMPOUNDS"

Group Art Unit: 122

Examiner: David Springer

) I hereby certify that this paper
) is being deposited with the
) United States Postal Service as
) First Class mail in an envelope
) addressed to: Commissioner of
) Patents & Trademarks, Washington
) D.C. 20231, on this date.
)
) July 26, 1984 (Date)
) Basil P. Mann
) Basil P. Mann
) Registration No. 18,464
) Attorney for Applicant(s)

RESPONSE "B"

Hon. Commissioner of Patents
and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated July 28, 1983.
reconsideration of the rejection of the claims in the application
is respectfully requested in view of the comparative data sub-
mitted herewith and discussed in detail below.

REMARKS

The claims in this application have been rejected on the combined teachings of the Albrecht, Yamanouchi and Connor references. In particular, the Examiner alleges that Yamanouchi discloses a compound, 6,9-dihydro-6-methyl-9-oxo-2H-pyranos(2,3-g) quinoline-8-carboxylic acid, which is a position isomer of the compounds claimed herein and which therefore prima facie renders the claimed compounds unpatentable.

In response to the Examiner's argument, there are presented herewith two declarations setting forth the results of comparative tests of Yamanouchi's above-identified compound in